UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/765,235	01/26/2004	Jurgen Henkel	10537/94A	1756	
26646 7590 KENYON & KEN		EXAMINER BINDA, GREGORY JOHN			
ONE BROADWA	Y				
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679 ·		
		·			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTE	16	03/26/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application No	on No. Applicant(s)					
			10/765,235		HENKEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
·			Greg Binda		3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appea	ars on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	Idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE NOTICE IS LONGER, FROM THE NOTICE IS LONGER IN THE NOTICE IN THE NOTICE IN THE NOTICE IS LONGER IN THE NOTICE IN THE NOTIC	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS C i(a). In no event, ho I apply and will expire ause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS frome to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>05 <u>Mar</u></i>	rch 2007.						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition	for allowanc	ce except for fo	ormal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims					•			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 23-25 and 27-29 is/are per	nding in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🔲	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 23,25 and 27-29 is/are reje	ected.							
·7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or e	election requir	ement.		•			
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) 🗌 accep	pted or b)⊟ o	bjected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
,—		o by the Exam	immer. Note u	ic attached Office	71011011 01 101111 1				
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/824,983</u> .								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	DTO 6 (6)	4) [Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) [Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/8/07</u> .	Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/765,235 Page 2

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 5, 2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

3. Claim 24 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election of Species XII shown in Fig. 11 was made without traverse in the reply filed on May 9, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 23, 25 & 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 23 & 27 recite the limitation, "a ball joint including ball heads" in lines 4 & 5. Applicant has not pointed out where this limitation is supported, nor does there appear to be a

Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

written description of the limitation in the application as originally filed. The ball joint 38 (see page 7, line 3) is not described as having "ball heads", nor is there any suggestion that it would include ball heads.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 23, 25 & 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hofmann et al, US 5,573,464. Figs. 2 & 6 show a tripod joint comprising: a joint inner part 1; and a joint outer part 20 holding the joint inner part 1, the joint inner part 1 has a tripod star including ball heads 5, the ball heads 5 in each case mounted in a recess 7 in an inner ring 64 pivotable with respect to the inner ring, the inner ring 64 and a rolling body 9 configured to transmit driving torque to the joint outer part 4, the recess in the inner ring 64 including a cylindrical subregion 7, at least one securing ring 18 arranged in a respective groove 114 in the inner ring in the region of the cylindrical subregion, each ball head supported with respect to the inner ring via the securing ring. Fig. 4 shows the tripod joint with two spaced apart securing rings 12.

Double Patenting

6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The

Art Unit: 3679

filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

7. Claims 23, 25 & 27-29 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 3 & 5 of copending Application No. 11/584,791. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed March 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the 112(1) rejection of claims 23, 25 & 27 is invalid because the disclosed tripod joint has multiple ball heads. However, the rejection is due to the limitation, "a ball joint including ball heads" not a tripod joint including ball heads. The disclosed ball joint 38 has just one ball head 56.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Margerie shows a tripod joint. Wells shows a ball joint. US 7,125,339 is a patent issuing from what appears to be a related application. US 2007/0037627 is the publication of U.S. Application No. 11/584,791.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/765,235 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Greg Binda

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679